

Message

---

**From:** Behrsing, Tracy [behrsing.tracy@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 6/26/2017 7:01:53 PM  
**To:** Aubee, Catherine [Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: proposed language for NC  
**Attachments:** ECHA summary CAS 62037-80-3.doc

Hi Catherine,

Thank you for the email language. Please go ahead and send this to CCD as it may be helpful to come from you in case they have questions or comments that come after I leave today.

Two small points - I think we should change the text to black (I had shown it in blue so that it would be easier for Tala to see the proposed text). Also, the attached file has a small edit from the previous version so please use this one.

Do you envision that this is something R4 and NC are planning to use internally? I see it more as an email than an official "statement" ...

Also – do you think the wording I drafted about the ECHA table is clear that the NOAELs, etc. are *as reported* in the dossier? I did state "as reported" and then that's also in a footnote in the table but I wouldn't want them to assume those are our NOAELs for each of the studies. If you think it's fine, we can leave as is.

Thank you,  
Tracy

---

**From:** Aubee, Catherine  
**Sent:** Monday, June 26, 2017 2:53 PM  
**To:** Behrsing, Tracy <behrsing.tracy@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** proposed language for NC

*Internal Deliberative – Pre-decisional – Joint Work Product*

Hi Tracy,

Do you want to share this with CCD or do you prefer that the email comes from me? We should send it to Rose, Greg, and Maria, and cc: Tala and me. I dropped in some proposed email language.

Best,  
Catherine

---

Hello CCD: Here is RAD's proposed statement for R4 and NC, as a follow-up to our conversations with them last week. Please let us know if you have any concerns.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

# **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**